

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COLUMN		
09/347,523	07/06/1999	YOSHIYUKI GOMI	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	07/00/1999		103229	3978		
	590 06/17/2003					
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC						
P.O. BOX 1992	2		EXAMINER			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		NGUYEN, DUNG T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			L			
			2871			
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/17/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. **09/347,523**

Applicant(s)

M

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit 2871

Gomi

	Ш	Ш	HB!			
	ш	и	1111	HH		563 AN
	ı	H	1	HH	1188	561 411 :
		ш		ш		
i	Ш			FRIBI		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
mailing date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	my and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 23	2, 2003					
2a) \square This action is FINAL . 2b) $ ot\boxtimes$ This a	oction is non-final.					
	a avaget for formal and					
Disposition of Cidinis						
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration					
O/ Claim(S)	is/are allowed.					
6) \(\text{Claim(s)} \) \(\frac{1-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11}{2} \)	is/are rejected					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abovenoe. See 27 CED 4 OF 1					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine						
approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
1. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 25 H. C.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
standard (S)	21.01.15, Grider 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/347,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/23/2003 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's amendment dated 04/28/2003 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-8 and 10-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (APA), figure 11, in view of Ray et al., US Patent No. 5,701,008.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 10-11, APA discloses an elector-optical device (figure 11) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/347,523

Art Unit: 2871

- . a pair of substrates (30, 31);
- . an elector-optical material (39);
- . a plurality of pixels (46);
- . a lens array (L) with a plurality of convex microlenses as claimed;
- . a step portion (LB);
- . a transparent cover (48) adhered to the lens.
- a photo curing resin sealing material would be inherently forming for adhering two substrates together (see APA's specification, page 3, lines 4-5).

The difference between the claims and APA is that the step portion being substantially equal in height to the microlenses. However, Ray et al. do disclose that a step portion can be formed with the height of microlenses as well as the width of the step portion being wider than the entire width of the sealing material (8) (e.g., upper portion of the sealing material) as shown in figure 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify APA's elector-optical device having a step portion which is substantially equal in height to the microlenses in order to improve the detection efficiency of detector element (i.e., pixels) (see col. 2, ln. 21).

In addition, in case of no photo curing resin using for sealant, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a photo curing resin based material for sealing material because it is notoriously well known in the art using such photo curing resin for the purpose of sealing, and it has been held to be within the general skill of

Application/Control Number: 09/347,523

Art Unit: 2871

a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as

a matter of obvious design choice.

Regarding claims 4-5, and 7-8, since the method of manufacturing the device is merely a

Page 4

list of forming each component and each component must be formed to make the device, the

method of manufacturing would be inherent to the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/28/2003 have been fully considered but they are not 5.

persuasive as stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, The Examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7730 for regular

communications and 703-308-7726 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 06/14/2003

Dung Nguyen Patent Examiner GAU 2871